

CERTIFICATION

AOAC Research Institute Performance Tested MethodsSM

Certificate No.

052005

The AOAC Research Institute hereby certifies the method known as:

SENSISpec INgezim Gluten R5

manufactured by

Gold Standard Diagnostics Madrid S.A. C/Hermanos Gracía Noblejas, 41 2ª planta 28037 MADRID, SPAIN

This method has been evaluated and certified according to the policies and procedures of the AOAC Performance Tested MethodsSM Program. This certificate indicates an AOAC Research Institute Certification Mark License Agreement has been executed which authorizes the manufacturer to display the AOAC Research Institute Performance Tested MethodsSM certification mark on the above-mentioned method for the period below. Renewal may be granted by the Expiration Date under the rules stated in the licensing agreement.

Bradley A. Stawick, Senior Director Signature for AOAC Research Institute

Fradly AS

Issue Date Expiration Date

December 10, 2024 December 31, 2025 **AUTHORS**

Cristina Romero, Ángel Venteo, Isabel González, Esther Hevia, Belén

Rebollo

RESPONSIBLE COMPANY

Gold Standard Diagnostics

C/Hermanos Gracía Noblejas, 41 2ª planta

28037 MADRID, SPAIN

METHOD NAME

SENSISpec INgezim Gluten R5

CATALOG NUMBER

30.GLU.K2

INDEPENDENT LABORATORY

Q Laboratories, Inc. 1930 Radcliff Drive

Cincinnati, Ohio USA

APPLICABILITY OF METHOD

Target Analyte(s) – Prolamin proteins from Gluten: gliadins from wheat, secalins from rye, and hordeins from barley

Matrixes – (0.25 g): gluten-free bead mix, oat flour stainless steel (10 cm x 10 cm)

Performance claims - Detection and quantification of gliadin gluten-free bread and oats.

Qualitative detection of gliadin on stainless steel surfaces.

REFERENCE METHOD

AOAC OMA 2012.01 (2)

ORIGINAL	CERTIFICATION DATE
----------	--------------------

May 22, 2020

CERTIFICATION RENEWAL RECORD

Renewed through December 2025.

METHOD MODIFICATION RECORD

1. December 2023 Level 1

SUMMARY OF MODIFICATION

 Rebranding company name change from Eurofins Ingenasa to Gold Standard Diagnostics Madrid.

Under this AOAC *Performance Tested Methods*SM License Number, 052005 this method is distributed by:

Eurofins Technologies, Eurofins Abraxis, Eurofins GeneScan, Eurofins GSD, Granotec, Nuscana

Under this AOAC *Performance Tested Methods*SM License Number, 052005 this method is distributed as:

SENSISpec INgezim Gluten R5 (30.GLU.K2)

PRINCIPLE OF THE METHOD (1)

The method is based on a double antibody sandwich enzyme immunoassay using the R5 monoclonal antibody (mAb), which recognizes an epitope common to the prolamin fraction from wheat (gliadins), rye (secalins) and barley (hordeins). The assay involves the following steps:

- The sample is ground (if required) and dissolved in solution buffer to bring relevant target molecules into solution.
- 2) An aliquot of 100 µL is then pipetted into the wells of the ELISA plate containing the bound -specific R5 antibodies. If gluten is contained in the sample, it will be bound by the antibodies on the plate.
- 3) Using washing buffer, the remains of the unbound material is washed out.
- 4) Add a volume of 100 μL of the R5 mAb conjugated with Horse-radish peroxidase (HRPO) to each well. This conjugated mAb will bind to the gluten previously captured by the coated antibody.
- 5) Using washing buffer, the unbound mAb conjugate is washed out.
- 6) 100 µL of TMB (Tetra-methylbenzidine) is added as a substrate of the HRPO enzyme (change of the colorless substrate solution into a blue product).
- 7) A stop Solution is added, to transform the blue color into yellow one. The intensity of yellow color can be measured with an ELISA reader (at 450 nm).
- 8) The gliadin content of the samples is determined by interpolation of their OD in the calibration curve. The calibration curve is established using the standard of the Prolamin Working Group (PWG). The obtained ng/mL gliadin value is converted to gluten content by using the formula explained in the calculations sheet.

DISCUSSION OF THE VALIDATION STUDY (1)

After the rigorous validation study to confirm the performance claims of the SENSISpec INgezim Gluten R5 Kit, all the results obtained were satisfactory according with the expected. More in detail, the linearity study analyzing five replicate test portions of the curve shows a very high reproducibility. The food matrix study demonstrates no differences, depending on the previous treatment of the sample, gliadin recovery after spiking the samples.

The recovery from the incurred bakery matrix samples tested was also as expected (98.4%). The cross-reactivity/interference study demonstrates that the assay does not produce false positive results with the selected matrixes and there are no interferences with them. As a final comment, we can indicate that the assay is valid for the detection of gluten in contaminated surfaces.

In addition, the data from the independent validation study support the product claims of the SENSISpec INgezim Gluten R5 method in fresh raw select foods and stainless steel surfaces.

Table 5. Results of the test of the samples from Matrix study by the candidate method and reference test. The table includes the information about: the mean of the mg of gliadin per kg of product obtained as a mean of the different replicates, the standard deviation, the coefficient of variation (CV or RDSr), the Bias and the % of recovery. (1)

Na	Sample	Contamination mg/kg Gliadin	Method	Mean	Standard Deviation	RDSr	Bias	% Recovery
1.70 Candidate Method 1,60 0,41 25,63 0,10 94,40 REFERENCE 4,10Q MA		0	Candidate Method	<loq< th=""><th>NA</th><th>NA</th><th>NA</th><th>NA</th></loq<>	NA	NA	NA	NA
REFERENCE -1.0Q NA			REFERENCE	<loq< th=""><th>NA</th><th>NA</th><th>NA</th><th>NA</th></loq<>	NA	NA	NA	NA
Candidate Method 1.70		1.70	Candidate Method	1.60	0.41	25.63	-0.10	94.40
REFERENCE 4.0Q NA NA NA NA NA NA			REFERENCE	<loq< th=""><th>NA</th><th>NA</th><th>NA</th><th>NA</th></loq<>	NA	NA	NA	NA
Silven free bread mix REFERENCE 3.00 1.03 34.33 -0.12 96.15		2.00	Candidate Method	1.70	0.42	24.71	-0.33	83.30
REFERENCE 3.00 1.03 34.33 -0.12 96.15			REFERENCE	<loq< th=""><th>NA</th><th>NA</th><th>NA</th><th>NA</th></loq<>	NA	NA	NA	NA
REFERENCE 3.00 1.03 34.33 -0.12 96.15	Gluten free bread	3.12	Candidate Method	2.80	0.56	20.00	-0.30	90.40
REFERENCE 7.43 0.23 3.10 1.18 119			REFERENCE	3.00	1.03	34.33	-0.12	96.15
12.50 Candidate Method 11.11 0.09 0.80 -1.39 89.00		6.25	Candidate Method	5.78	0.95	16.40	-0.47	92.00
REFERENCE 12.19 0.21 1.80 -0.31 98.00			REFERENCE	7.43	0.23	3.10	1.18	119
20.00 Candidate Method 17.90 2.24 12.51 -2.10 89.50		12.50	Candidate Method	11.11	0.09	0.80	-1.39	89.00
Name			REFERENCE	12.19	0.21	1.80	-0.31	98.00
O Candidate Method CLOQ NA NA NA NA NA NA NA N		20.00	Candidate Method	17.90	2.24	12.51	-2.10	89.50
NA			REFERENCE	24.20	0.70	2.89	4.20	121.00
1.70 Candidate Method 1.68 0.15 0.09 -0.02 99.12		0	Candidate Method	<loq< th=""><th>NA</th><th>NA</th><th>NA</th><th>NA</th></loq<>	NA	NA	NA	NA
REFERENCE			REFERENCE	<loq< th=""><th>NA</th><th>NA</th><th>NA</th><th>NA</th></loq<>	NA	NA	NA	NA
Part		1.70	Candidate Method	1.68	0.15	0.09	-0.02	99.12
Oat REFERENCE <loq< th=""> NA NA NA NA Oat 3.12 Candidate Method 3.62 0.46 0.13 0.50 116.15 REFERENCE 3.60 0.52 14.44 0.48 115.38 6.25 Candidate Method 6.88 0.17 2.50 0.63 110.00 REFERENCE 7.65 0.05 0.60 1.40 122.00 12.50 Candidate Method 13.01 0.15 1.20 0.51 104.00 REFERENCE 16.66 1.80 10.80 4.16 133.00 20.00 Candidate Method 20.60 1.68 0.08 0.60 103.00 White rice flour 100.00 Candidate Method 98.20 3.06 3.10 -1.78 98.20 White rice flour 100.00 Candidate Method 108.03 9.20 8.50 8.00 108.03 White rice flour 100.00 Candidate Method <t< th=""><th></th><th></th><th>REFERENCE</th><th><loq< th=""><th>NA</th><th>NA</th><th>NA</th><th>NA</th></loq<></th></t<></loq<>			REFERENCE	<loq< th=""><th>NA</th><th>NA</th><th>NA</th><th>NA</th></loq<>	NA	NA	NA	NA
Oat 3.12 Candidate Method 3.62 0.46 0.13 0.50 116.15 REFERENCE 3.60 0.52 14.44 0.48 115.38 6.25 Candidate Method 6.88 0.17 2.50 0.63 110.00 REFERENCE 7.65 0.05 0.60 1.40 122.00 12.50 Candidate Method 13.01 0.15 1.20 0.51 104.00 REFERENCE 16.66 1.80 10.80 4.16 133.00 20.00 Candidate Method 20.60 1.68 0.08 0.60 103.00 White rice flour 100.00 Candidate Method 98.20 3.06 3.10 -1.78 98.20 White rice flour 100.00 Candidate Method 108.03 9.20 8.50 8.00 108.03 White rice flour 100.00 Candidate Method 103.00 2.05 2.00 3.84 103.00		2.00	Candidate Method	2.13	0.16	0.07	0.13	106.66
REFERENCE 3.60 0.52 14.44 0.48 115.38			REFERENCE	<loq< th=""><th>NA</th><th>NA</th><th>NA</th><th>NA</th></loq<>	NA	NA	NA	NA
Candidate Method 6.88 0.17 2.50 0.63 110.00 REFERENCE 7.65 0.05 0.60 1.40 122.00 12.50 Candidate Method 13.01 0.15 1.20 0.51 104.00 REFERENCE 16.66 1.80 10.80 4.16 133.00 20.00 Candidate Method 20.60 1.68 0.08 0.60 103.00 REFERENCE 23.00 0.50 2.10 3.00 115.00 White rice flour 100.00 Candidate Method 98.20 3.06 3.10 -1.78 98.20 White rice flour 100.00 Candidate Method 108.03 9.20 8.50 8.00 108.03 White rice flour 100.00 Candidate Method 103.00 2.05 2.00 3.84 103.00 White rice flour 100.00 Candidate Method 98.60 1.90 1.90 -1.40 98.60 White rice flour 100.00 Candidate Method 98.60 1.90 1.90 -1.40 98.60 White rice flour 100.00 Candidate Method 98.60 1.90 1.90 -1.40 98.60 White rice flour 100.00 Candidate Method 98.60 1.90 1.90 -1.40 98.60 White rice flour 100.00 Candidate Method 98.60 1.90 1.90 -1.40 98.60 White rice flour 100.00 Candidate Method 98.60 1.90 1.90 -1.40 98.60 White rice flour 100.00 Candidate Method 98.60 1.90 1.90 -1.40 98.60	Oat	3.12	Candidate Method	3.62	0.46	0.13	0.50	116.15
REFERENCE 7.65 0.05 0.60 1.40 122.00			REFERENCE	3.60	0.52	14.44	0.48	115.38
12.50 Candidate Method 13.01 0.15 1.20 0.51 104.00		6.25	Candidate Method	6.88	0.17	2.50	0.63	110.00
REFERENCE 16.66 1.80 10.80 4.16 133.00			REFERENCE	7.65				
20.00 Candidate Method 20.60 1.68 0.08 0.60 103.00 White rice flour 100.00 Candidate Method 98.20 3.06 3.10 -1.78 98.20 White rice flour 100.00 Candidate Method 108.03 9.20 8.50 8.00 108.03 White rice flour 100.00 Candidate Method 103.00 2.05 2.00 3.84 103.00 White rice flour 100.00 Candidate Method 98.60 1.90 1.90 -1.40 98.60		12.50	Candidate Method	13.01	0.15	1.20	0.51	104.00
REFERENCE 23.00 0.50 2.10 3.00 115.00			REFERENCE	16.66	1.80	10.80	4.16	133.00
White rice flour 100.00 Candidate Method 98.20 3.06 3.10 -1.78 98.20 White rice flour 100.00 Candidate Method 108.03 9.20 8.50 8.00 108.03 White rice flour 100.00 Candidate Method 103.00 2.05 2.00 3.84 103.00 White rice flour 100.00 Candidate Method 98.60 1.90 1.90 -1.40 98.60		20.00	Candidate Method	20.60	1.68	0.08	0.60	103.00
White rice flour 100.00 Candidate Method 98.20 3.06 3.10 -1.78 98.20 White rice flour 100.00 Candidate Method 108.03 9.20 8.50 8.00 108.03 White rice flour 100.00 Candidate Method 103.00 2.05 2.00 3.84 103.00 White rice flour 100.00 Candidate Method 98.60 1.90 1.90 -1.40 98.60			REFERENCE	23.00	0.50	2.10	3.00	115.00
White rice flour 100.00 Candidate Method 103.00 2.05 2.00 3.84 103.00 White rice flour 100.00 Candidate Method 98.60 1.90 1.90 -1.40 98.60		100.00	Candidate Method	98.20	3.06	3.10	-1.78	98.20
White rice flour 100.00 Candidate Method 103.00 2.05 2.00 3.84 103.00 White rice flour 100.00 Candidate Method 98.60 1.90 1.90 -1.40 98.60	White rice flour	100.00	Candidate Method	108.03	9.20	8.50	8.00	108.03
100.00 Candidate Method 98.60 1.90 1.90 -1.40 98.60	White rice flour	100.00	Candidate Method	103.00	2.05	2.00	3.84	103.00
White rice flour	White rice flour	100.00	Candidate Method	98.60	1.90	1.90	-1.40	98.60
100.00 Candidate Method 95.70 2.80 2.90 -4.30 95.70	White rice flour	100.00	Candidate Method	95.70	2.80	2.90	-4.30	95.70

Table 7. Summary of the results obtained with the incurred gluten-free bread samples. The table shows extractions from 1 to 10 that are analyzed by the candidate method (SENSISpec INgezim Gluten R5) and extractions from 11 to 13 that are analyzed by the reference method. In the table is included the Optical density (OD at 450nm) obtained, the ppm of gliadin, the mean of OD of the different extractions, the standard deviation and de % of recovery. *NA: Not applicable (1)

Test	Contamination level	Extraction	OD 450 nm	ppm gliadin	Mean	Std dev σ	% Recovery
		Extr 1	0.113	<loq< th=""><th></th><th></th><th rowspan="2"></th></loq<>			
		Extr 2	0.131	<loq< th=""><th></th><th></th></loq<>			
	Extr 3	0.139	<loq< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th></loq<>				
		Extr 4	0.107	<loq< th=""><th></th><th rowspan="3">NA</th><th rowspan="3">NA</th></loq<>		NA	NA
SENSISpec		Extr 5	0.125	<loq< th=""><th rowspan="2">NA</th></loq<>	NA		
INgezim Gluten R5		Extr 6	0.097	<loq< th=""></loq<>			
Оррт	Extr 7	0.102	<loq< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th></loq<>				
		Extr 8	0.099	<loq< th=""><th></th><th></th><th rowspan="2"></th></loq<>			
		Extr 9	0.109	<loq< th=""><th></th><th></th></loq<>			
	Extr 10	0.16	<loq< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th></loq<>				
Reference		Extr 11	0.143	<loq< th=""><th rowspan="2">NA</th><th rowspan="3">NA</th><th rowspan="3">NA</th></loq<>	NA	NA	NA
Method OMA 2012.01		Extr 12	0.375	<loq< th=""></loq<>			
		Extr 13	0.139	<loq< th=""><th></th></loq<>			
		Extr 1	1.219	5.55			
		Extr 2	1.181	5.28			
		Extr 3	1.098	4.73			
SENSISpec INgezim Gluten R5 5 ppm Reference Method OMA 2012.01	Extr 4	1.142	5.02				
	Extr 5	1.158	5.13				
		Extr 6	1.029	4.29	4.92 0.41	0.41	98.40
	5 ppm	Extr 7	1.081	4.62			
		Extr 8	1.078	4.60			
		Extr 9	1.198	5.40			
		Extr 10	1.081	4.62			
		Extr 11	0.868	5.89	6.14	0.38	122.80
		Extr 12	0.944	6.59			
		Extr 13	0.876	5.96			

Table 10. The table shows the results of the test of the samples from the surfaces. (1)									
Matrix	Allergen	Nª	Contamination level	1:12.5 Dilution					
				Хp	PODcc	95% CI			
Stainless Steel Environmental Surfaces	Gluten	5	0 μg/mL/100cm ²	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43			
		30	0.4 μg/mL/100cm ²	15	0.5	0.33, 0.66			
		5	2 μg/mL/100cm²	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00			

^aN = Number of test portions

REFERENCES CITED

- 1. Romero, C., Venteo, A., González, I., Hevia, E., Rebollo, B., SENSISpec INgezim Gluten R5 (30.GLU.K2), AOAC *Performance Tested Methods*SM certification number 052005.
- 2. AOAC OMA 2012.01.

^bx = Number of positive test portions

 $[^]c\text{POD}_\text{C}$ = 1:12.5 Dilution positive outcomes divided by the total number of trials